

**MEMORANDUM DECISION**  
**ON REHEARING**

Pursuant to Ind. Appellate Rule 65(D), this Memorandum Decision is not binding precedent for any court and may be cited only for persuasive value or to establish res judicata, collateral estoppel, or law of the case.



---

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT  
H. Kennard Bennett  
Schlueter Breman, LLC  
Indianapolis, Indiana

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLEE  
Sandy Leviticus Bryant  
Indianapolis, Indiana

---

IN THE  
**COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA**

---

Ronda Randall, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Julian M. Roache, and as Personal Representative of the Estate of Joanne F. Roache, Beneficiary of the Julian M. Roache Revocable Living Trust,  
*Appellant-Plaintiff,*

v.

Anita J. Woodson, individually and in her capacity as Trustee of the Julian M. Roache Revocable Living Trust,  
*Appellee-Defendant.*

September 6, 2023  
Court of Appeals Case No.  
22A-PL-2830  
Appeal from the Marion Superior Court  
The Honorable Gary Miller, Judge  
Trial Court Cause No.  
49D03-1901-PL-2839

**Memorandum Decision on Rehearing by Judge Riley**  
Judges Bradford and Weissmann concur.

**Riley, Judge.**

- [1] Appellant-Plaintiff, Ronda Randall, seeks rehearing of our June 19, 2023, opinion affirming the trial court’s dismissal Order regarding her Complaint against Appellee-Defendant, Anita J. Woodson, in which we concluded that Randall’s claims pertaining to the SSA benefits were preempted by federal law. We reaffirm our opinion in substance, but we grant rehearing for the limited purpose of clarifying our opinion in one respect.
- [2] As part of our holding, we concluded that as a result of the combined effect of the trial court’s dismissal of Randall’s claims related to the Trust’s assets, claims which Randall did not appeal, and our affirmance of the trial court’s dismissal of claims pertaining to Julian’s SSA benefits, only those of Randall’s claims related to assets which were neither Trust assets nor Julian’s SSA benefits may go forward. Therefore, although we express no opinion on the existence or viability of any remaining claims, we clarify that we remand this cause for further proceedings consistent with our June 19, 2023, opinion.
- [3] Bradford, J. and Weissmann, J. concur.